

Accelerating Materials Discovery Using Machine Learning Techniques for Renewable Energy and Sustainability Solutions

Stanislav R. Stoyanov,^{a,*} Sergey Gusarov,^b Anguang Hu,^c Jonathan B. Brum,^d José Walkimar de M. Carneiro,^d Leonardo M. da Costa,^d Antonio D. S. G. Lima,^e João G. O. Neto,^e Adenilson O. Santos,^e Luciano T. da Costa,^d Lindsay J. Hounjet,^a Mateus R. Lage^e

^aNatural Resources Canada, CanmetENERGY Devon, Devon, AB, Canada; ^bNational Research Council Canada, Digital Technologies Research Centre, Ottawa, ON, Canada; ^cSuffield Research Centre, Defence Research & Development Canada, Medicine Hat, AB, Canada; ^dInstitute of Chemistry, Fluminense Federal University, Niterói, RJ, Brazil; ^eCenter for Social Sciences, Health, and Technology, Federal University of Maranhão, Imperatriz, MA, Brazil

*Presenting author e-mail: stanislav.stoyanov@nrcan-rncan.gc.ca

Advanced materials are key to the ongoing transition towards renewable energy and sustainability. Computational chemistry techniques enable the accelerated discovery of materials by speeding up exploration, providing mechanistic insights, and reducing costs compared to experimental research alone. Particularly promising approaches for accelerating computational discovery include machine learning (ML) and reasoning-based artificial intelligence (AI).^{1,2}

Recent examples of materials discovery enabled by ML and AI in the areas of catalytic CO₂ reduction and the screening of materials for CO₂ capture and biohydrogen purification will be presented. For catalyst discovery, we employ supervised ML models and propose ML-enhanced descriptors based on the Fukui function. To illustrate the reliability of the approach, we analyze the correlation between the proposed descriptors and the surface binding energy of CO. Our results show that the Fukui function, augmented by the surface work function, is well correlated to the mapped binding energy of CO and might replace the computationally expensive energy mapping using density functional theory (DFT), as an indicator of catalytic activity.³ For CO₂ capture using amine-based solvents, we have conducted a benchmark study of semiempirical methods to identify an approach that reproduces the trends predicted by the DFT calculations. The results show that, despite the limited direct correlation between the semiempirical PM7 and DFT values, trained regressors incorporating both geometrical and electronic features capture the underlying nonlinear patterns and significantly improve predictive accuracy. The demonstrated workflow provides a viable pathway to reduce the computational cost of modeling CO₂ capture systems.⁴ For H₂ purification, we have demonstrated a comprehensive high-throughput screening of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) from the CoRE MOF database to identify optimal candidates for CO₂/H₂ separation from biogas under common pressure and vacuum swing adsorption conditions. Molecular simulations and DFT are employed to evaluate separation descriptors across pressure regimes. The performance ranking of MOFs is determined according to an overall score, which integrates metrics, such as MOF working capacity and CO₂-adsorption selectivity, toward a binary gas mixture. This robust computational framework is intended to guide the rational design of next-generation adsorbents for renewable biohydrogen production.⁵

The Theory of Inventive Problem Solving (TRIZ) has been employed for systematic innovation in engineering and materials science. We have proposed a novel framework that integrates the logic-based principles of the TRIZ with large language models (LLMs) to emulate researcher-like reasoning in atomistic materials science. This approach not only amplifies current trends in physics-informed ML and generative design but also democratizes advanced problem solving to accelerate discovery toward ideality.²

¹Siahrostami, S.; Stoyanov, S. R.; Gusarov, S.; Gates, I. D.; Karamad, M. Artificial intelligence for Catalysis. Ch. 2. In *Accelerated Materials Discovery: How to Use Artificial Intelligence to Speed Up Development*. De Luna, P. Ed.; De Gruyter, Berlin, Germany, Boston, MA, USA 2022, pp. 27–64. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110738087-002>

²Gusarov, S.; Sapelnikova, S.; Valdes, J. J.; Hu, A.; Stoyanov, S. R. *ChemEngineering* **2026**, *10*, 54. <https://www.mdpi.com/2305-7084/10/4/54>

³Gusarov, S.; Stoyanov, S. R.; Siahrostami, S. J. *Phys. Chem. C* **2020**, *124*, 10079–10084. <https://pubs.acs.org/jpcck/article/124/18/10079/882092/Development-of-Fukui-Function-Based-Descriptors>

⁴Brum, J. B.; Stoyanov, S. R.; Carneiro, J. W. M.; Costa, L. M. *ACS Omega* **2026**, *11*, 53415–53426. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.6c06795>

⁵Lima, A. D. S. G.; Stoyanov, S. R.; Neto, J. G. O.; Santos, A. O.; Costa, L. T.; Hounjet, L. J.; Lage, M. R. *Fuel* **2027**, *429-B*, 140669. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016236126024245>